



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/030,538 | 01/11/2002 | Jonne Soininen | P 284172 | 2183 |
| 909 7590 06/29/2007 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | EXAMINER DUONG, DUC T | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2616 | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | MAIL DATE 06/29/2007 | DELIVERY MODE PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

5

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/030,538 | Applicant(s) SOININEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Duc T. Duong | Art Unit 2616 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,8-11,16-18 and 21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-7,12-15,19 and 20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- 1: ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- 3: ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 25, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1, 8-11, 16-18, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mustajarvi et al (US Patent 6,661,782 B1).

Regarding to claims 1, 11, and 18, Mustajarvi discloses an access system (fig. 1), comprising a plurality of mobile nodes MS and access nodes GGSN/SGSN (fig. 1 col. 8 lines 1-5); a first gateway node SGSN for interfacing said access system with external networks 13 (fig. 1 col. 8 lines 1-6); a first mobility entity MSC/VLR which is associated with said first gateway node SGSN and arranged to provide macro mobility management services (i.e. location update or paging requests) to the mobile nodes MS while registered to a respective part of the access system (fig. 1 col. 8 lines 27-33), each mobile node MS being able to perform a macro mobility registration to the first mobility entity MSC/VLR over a respective dedicated access network connection established by opening an access network protocol context at a first access node GGSN to activate a packet data address and make the packet data address known to the first gateway node SGSN (fig. 1 col. 8 lines 42-51; noted the GGSN includes the subscribers' PDP addresses, routing information, and SGSN addresses), the first gateway node being arranged to monitor the macro mobility registration (col. 9 lines 57-61; the SGSN monitor for change in routing information (registration) when MS move to new cell or new SGSN), to trigger a deletion of any access network protocol context which is no longer necessary on the basis of the result of the registration (col. 10 lines 30-34; when the MS move to a new SGSN, the old SGSN (first gateway node) deleted the MM and PDP contexts based on the registration message received from the HLR).

Regarding to claims 8, 10, and 16, Mustajarvi discloses the macro mobility management is Internet Protocol-type (col. 8 lines 42-47) and wherein said mobility

Art Unit: 2616

entity associated with the gateway node is a foreign agent (col. 7 lines 27-33; the VLR acts as the foreign agent).

Regarding to claims 9 and 17, Mustajarvi discloses the access network protocol context is a packet protocol context (col. 34-41).

Regarding to claim 21, Mustajarvi discloses the gateway node can be integrated into the same physical node with said access node (col. 8 lines 50-51).
are maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-7, 12-15, and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc T. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-3122. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 AM-6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2616

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DD

DD

Daniel J. Ryman
Patent Examiner
AU 2616

Daniel Ryman